

2025 Non-Detained Master Calendar Hearings- Immigration Court Observation

1. Date: _____ 2. Observer (full name): _____

3. Shift time: 8:30-10:30 10:30-12:30 1:30-3:00 3:00-4:30 Check if not allowed in at shift start time:

4. Judge: Carr Ivany Mazzie Miller Sardelli Wood Zaske Other: _____

5. DHS Attorney: _____

6. Hearings Tallies (R=Respondent, I J= Judge)

Total hearings: _____

1st hearing is more than one year past entry into US: _____

Hearings R in person: _____

Hearings R remote (video/phone): _____

R already filed asylum app: _____

Hearings R pro se: _____

If filed asylum, pleadings done today: _____

Hearings R represented: _____

Pleadings done, no relief filed yet: _____

Respondents have moved: _____

Language:

English Speakers: _____

Specify Languages: _____

Spanish Speakers: _____

Other Languages: _____

Case not heard, no interpreter: _____

Tally outcomes of hearings conducted:

Master calendar reset: _____

Removal order: _____

Merit hearing/trial queue next: _____

Voluntary Departure granted: _____

Termination/Dismissal: _____

Change of Venue: _____

Admin closure: _____

No shows reset: _____

Status Docket: _____

No-shows In absentia removal: _____

7. Comment on any hearing tallies above: _____

8. Continuance date for next master calendar hearings (main reset date): _____

9. Interpretation problems/ Comments _____

10. If any respondents live outside MN, please list the states here: _____

ADVISALS

For questions 11-19 use a 5 point scale: 1= strongly disagree, 3= neutral, 5= strongly agree **1 2 3 4 5 NA**

11. For pro se respondents at 1st hearing, I J gave a group advisal

12. For pro se respondents at 1st hearing, I J warned about attorney /notario fraud

13. I J stated attorneys are expensive, might not be worth it

14. I J stated they wouldn't discuss work authorization

15. For all respondents I J verified current address

- 16. For pro se respondents IJ explained R must file change of address w/in 5 days
- 17. For pro se respondents IJ explained "failure to appear" results in deportation order
- 18. Judge offered WebEx appearance to people who live far from court
- 19. I J discussed change of venue if R lives outside MN, SD, or ND

ASYLUM APPLICATIONS & FILINGS

For questions 20-28 use a 5 point scale: 1= strongly disagree, 3= neutral, 5= strongly agree **1 2 3 4 5 NA**

- 20. IJ offered pro se respondents an asylum application at first hearing
- 21. IJ gave asylum application to respondents upon request
- 22. For pro se respondents at 1st hearing I J explained 1 year filing deadline for asylum
- 23. For pro se respondents at 1st hearing I J gave specific filing date or noted entry date
- 24. For pro se respondents at continued hearing, IJ reiterated asylum filing deadline
- 25. IJ explained can add evidence and amend filed asylum application until final hearing
- 26. IJ explained if asylum app filed > 1 yr after entry, can be considered for Withholding (WOR)
- 27. If asylum application has been filed, IJ took pleadings and offered merit hearing next
- 28. If R is pro se today, IJ offered time to find atty even if asylum application already filed

OTHER RELIEF APPLICATIONS

- 29. Mark which applications for relief other than asylum were discussed or offered to respondents: None
- Adjustment of status Cancellation of removal Cuban Adj Act SIJS Termination/dismissal TPS VAWA

Comment: _____

30. New procedures, policies, or arguments noted: _____

31. Comments about Judge: _____

32. Comments on DHS attorney: _____

33. Comments about respondents: _____

34. Did anyone ask about asylum clock? Yes No Unsure Comment: _____

35. Additional impressions, questions, observations, quotes: _____